

Re: Other questions about private patrol services and the Police Commission

From: RICHARD TEFANK <richard.tefank@lapd.lacity.org>
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: CHRIS WATERS <26246@lapd.lacity.org>, BENJAMIN JONES <30236@lapd.lacity.org>, ERNESTO VICENCIO <35039@lapd.lacity.org>
Subject: Re: Other questions about private patrol services and the Police Commission
Date: Wednesday, August 03, 2016 7:17 AM
X-Delivered-To: [REDACTED]
Size: 9 KB

Good Morning,

We have never received a complaint to my knowledge relative to a BID security operator. Since they are a contracted entity retained by the BID it would seem to me that the complaint would be submitted to the BID for their appropriate action. Additionally the State Dept of Consumer Affairs may get involved relative to the company or individual guard.

Quite frankly the instruction over 17 years ago with no complaints filed against any BID security operator has not been ever brought to our attention.

If you have a specific complaint that you wish to make I would suggest it be sent to the appropriate BID and copy the Commission. We would evaluate any action that we may take upon receipt.

Richard M. Tefank, Executive Director
Board of Police Commissioners
100 W. First St., Suite 135
Los Angeles, CA 90012
richard.tefank@lapd.lacity.org
213-236-1400

>>> <[REDACTED]> - 8/2/2016 5:30 PM >>>
Good Afternoon, Richard.

Officer Vicencio told me that the attached rules for private patrol services from 2000 are the most recent. I noticed that some of the rules apply to private patrol service permittees, which BID security guards are not, but others apply to private patrol services without limitation. Am I reading too much into this, or are even unpermitted private patrol services subject to those rules (2, 3, 6, and 7)?

If that's the case, or for some other reason, does the Police Commission assert any right to investigate complaints against BID security for violations of codified laws (rule 7)? It seems to me that this is a separate issue from whether they're required to obtain permits to operate. I'm thinking that this is plausible since, e.g., in June 1999 (see attached minutes), which is after the City Council suspended Police Commission enforcement of LAMC 52.34 with respect to BID patrols, the Commission instructed Joe Gunn to monitor the Hollywood BID Patrol in response to a citizen complaint. So perhaps the right to monitor and/or investigate doesn't depend on a registration requirement?

Thanks for your attention,

[REDACTED]