

1 Carol A. Sobel, SBN 84483
LAW OFFICE OF CAROL A. SOBEL
2 3110 Main Street, Suite 210
Santa Monica, CA 90405
3 (t) 310 393-3055; (f) 310 399-1854
(e) carolsobel@aol.com

4 Paul Hoffman, SBN 71244
5 Catherine Sweetser, SBN 271142
SCHONBRUN, SEPLow, HARRIS &
6 HOFFMAN LLP
723 Ocean Front Walk
7 Venice, California 90291
(t) 310 396-0731; (f) 310 399-7040
8 (e) hoffpaul@aol.com
(e) catherine.sdshh@gmail.com

Barrett S. Litt, SBN 45527
KAYE, MCLANE, BEDNARSKI & LITT
234 Colorado Blvd., Suite 230
Pasadena, California 91101
(t) 626 844-7660; (f) 626 844-7670
(e) blitt@kmbllaw.com

9 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
10 Additional Counsel on Following Page

11
12
13
14 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
15 **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – WESTERN DIVISION**

16 CHARMAINE CHUA, et al.,

Case No.: 16-cv-00237-JAK-GJS

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

17
18 PLAINTIFFS,

19 vs.
20 CITY OF LOS ANGELES, et al.,

21 DEFENDANTS.

1 Colleen Flynn, SBN 234281
2 LAW OFFICE OF COLLEEN FLYNN
3 3435 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2910
4 Los Angeles, CA 90010
5 (t) 213 252-9444
6 (f) 213 252-0091
7 (e) cflynn@yahoo.com

8 Matthew Strugar, SBN 232951
9 LAW OFFICE OF MATTHEW STRUGAR
10 2108 Cove Avenue
11 Los Angeles, CA 90039
12 (t) 323 696-2299
13 (e) matthewstrugar@gmail.com
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 83-1.3 and the order of the court issued at the
2 Scheduling Conference conducted in this action on September 12, 2016 before the
3 Honorable John A. Kronstadt, Plaintiffs file this Notice of Related Case.

4 In the course of preparing the Joint Rule 26 report, Plaintiffs were made
5 aware by counsel for the Defendant City of two other lawsuits arising from the
6 same protest, although those incidents occurred at a different location from the
7 arrests and detentions at issue in this action. Those cases are *Belay and Ahmed vs.*
8 *City of Los Angeles CV16-01187 JAK(GJSx)* and *Amha v. City of Los Angeles, CV*
9 *16-0216 FMO(AFM)*. The first case filed was assigned to the calendar of the
10 Honorable Fernando Olguin.

11 The cases all arise out of a series of protests that took place over several
12 days in late November 2016, all related to the grand jury decision not to
13 recommend prosecution of the officer involved in the death of Michael Brown in
14 Ferguson, Missouri. Each case challenges the policies of the Los Angeles Police
15 Department in responding to spontaneous protests and the adequacy of dispersal
16 orders once a decision is made by the LAPD to declare an unlawful assembly.
17 This issue has been the subject of past litigation and incorporated into a Structural
18 Relief Agreement entered by the Court in 3009 in *MIWON v. City of Los Angeles,*
19 *cv-07-7032 AHM*.

20 The Chua case involves the detention and arrest of approximately 170
21 individuals in November, 2014 during these protests. The case is filed as a class
22 action based on two incidents: one occurring at Beverly and Alvarado in which the
23 sub-class was subject to detention, warrantless search and interrogation before
24 being released by Defendants. The second incident involves a mass arrest at 6th
25 and Hope in which Plaintiffs contend that they were not given adequate dispersal
26 notice before being surrounded by the LAPD and arrested. The group at 6th &
27 Hope also contend that they were held in jail for approximately a day when they
28

1 should have been cited and released pursuant to California Penal Code § 853.6.
2 Both groups also allege that their privacy rights were violated by the collection of
3 personal information and the storage and dissemination of that information by the
4 LAPD and to other law enforcement entities.

5 The cases may be related because some of the legal issues are the same, but
6 not all, and some of the defendants are the same, but not all.

8 Dated: September 21, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

10 Kaye, McLane, Bednarski & Litt, LLP
11 Law Office of Carol A. Sobel
12 Schonbrun, Seplow, Harris & Hoffman
13 Law Office of Colleen Flynn
14 Law Office of Matthew Strugar

15 /s/ Carol A. Sobel
16 By: CAROL A. SOBEL
17 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing document was served this date on all counsel of record in this action by means of the Court’s Electronic Court Filing (“ECF”) system.

Dated: September 21, 2016

/s Carol A. Sobel

CAROL A. SOBEL